

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re: GREGORY JOHN TE VELDE	Case No. 18-11651-A-11
Debtor.	

GREGORY JOHN TE VELDE
Plaintiff,
v.
RABOBANK N.A., et al.
Defendants.

Adv. No. 18-01030-A

MEMORANDUM

Argued and submitted on August 22, 2018
at Fresno, California

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, Bankruptcy Judge Presiding

Appearances: Michael Collins, Collins & Collins, LP and Riley C. Walter, Walter Wilhelm Law Group for Gregory te Velde; Richard A. Rogan, Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP for Rabobank, N.A.; Don J. Poole, Wild Carter & Tipton for J.D. Heiskell Holdings, LLC; Stephen P. Arnot, Williams Kastner Greene & Markley for Custom Feed Services, LLC; Craig Tristao, Coleman & Horowitz, LLP for Western Ag Improvements, Inc.; Peter L. Fear, Fear Waddell, P.C. for Cold Springs Veterinary Services, Inc.; and John H. Chambers, Dunn Carney Allen Higgins & Tongue LLP for Scott Harvesting, LLC

1 Oregon's non-possessory lien statutes provide persons who provide
2 services and suppliers who provide materials a lien against chattels
3 improved so improved. Among those liens are Agricultural Services
4 Liens, Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.226, which extend to crops and animals,
5 their "proceeds," and, in limited instances, to the offspring of those
6 animals. As commonly understood, "proceeds" means monies generated by
7 sale. Does the Agricultural Services Lien extend to milk produced by
8 a cow subject to such a lien?

9 **I. FACTS**

10 Gregory te Velde ("te Velde") is a dairyman. He owns three
11 dairies, including Lost Valley Farm in Oregon. Lost Valley Farm
12 delivers the milk it produces to a processor, Columbia River
13 Processing ("Columbia River").

14 te Velde sought the protections of chapter 11.¹

15 Prior to filing bankruptcy, te Velde owed money to Rabobank,
16 N.A., J.D. Heiskell Holdings, LLC, and Overland Stockyard, Inc.
17 (collectively "Consensual Lienholders") and gave each of those
18 creditors a consensual lien against Lost Valley Farm's livestock,
19 crops, milk, milk checks, equipment and other personal property. The
20 aggregate amount due the Consensual Lenders is approximately \$78
21 million.

22 Also prior to filing bankruptcy, Custom Feed Services, LLC;
23 Western Ag Improvements, Inc.; Cold Springs Veterinary Services, Inc.;
24 and Scott Harvesting, LLC (collectively "ASL Holders") provided goods
25 and/or services to te Velde's Lost Valley Farm dairy. Each of those

26 ¹ Unless specified otherwise, all chapter and section references are to the
27 Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532 and all "Rule" references are to the
Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rules 1001-9037. All "Civil Rule"
28 references are to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 1-86.

1 creditors claim a non-possessory chattel lien under Oregon Revised
2 Statute § 87.226, encumbering Lost Valley Farm's crops and livestock,
3 as well as the sale proceeds of the sales of the crops and livestock.
4 The amount due ASL Holders on the date te Velde sought chapter 11
5 protection was almost \$1.1 million. The ASL Holders served notice of
6 their liens on Columbia River.

7 Columbia River owes te Velde, dba, Lost Valley Farms
8 approximately \$1.2 million for milk delivered to it. Uncertain as to
9 whether te Velde, the Consensual Lienholders or the ASL Holders were
10 entitled to those funds, Columbia River Processing impounded, and
11 continues to hold, those milk proceeds.

12 **II. PROCEDURE**

13 te Velde brought an action against the Consensual Lienholders and
14 the ASL Holders to determine the nature, extent and validity of the
15 agricultural service liens. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001(2). After the
16 defendants answered, the court bifurcated the action into two issues:
17 (1) whether the agricultural service liens attach to milk proceeds
18 ("Attachment Issue"); and (2) all other issues ("Remainder Issues").
19 The Attachment Issue was tried first on stipulated facts.

20 **III. JURISDICTION**

21 This court has jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334, 157(a), (b) (1);
22 General Order No. 182 of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern
23 District of California. This is a core proceeding in which this court
24 may enter final orders and judgment. 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) (2)(K); Rule
25 7002(2); *In re Washington Coast I, LLC*, 485 B.R. 393, 402-07 (9th Cir.
26 2012). Even if the matters raised by this adversary proceeding are
27 non-core this court may enter final orders and judgment with the
28 consent of the parties. 11 U.S.C. § 157(c) (1), (2); *Wellness Int'l*

1 *Network, Ltd. v. Sharif*, 135 S.Ct. 1932 (2015). Here, the parties
2 have so consented.

3 **IV. DISCUSSION**

4 **A. Oregon's Non-Possessory Statutory Lien Law**

5 In the first instance, the validity and effect of liens held by
6 creditors against a bankrupt debtor are determined by state law. *In*
7 *re Southern California Plastics, Inc.*, 165 F.3d 1243, 1247 (9th Cir.
8 1999); *In re Copper King Inn, Inc.*, 918 F.2d 1404, 1407 (9th Cir.
9 1990); *Tri-State Livestock Credit Corp. v. Ellsworth (In re*
10 *Ellsworth*), 722 F.2d 1448, 1450 (9th Cir. 1984); 11 U.S.C. § 506.

11 Oregon's non-possessory lien statutes balance the rights of
12 property owners and workmen/material suppliers. Or. Rev. Stat. §§
13 87.216-87.350. Comprised of 27 different sections, the non-possessory
14 lien statutes protect persons who have performed services or furnished
15 goods that improve the personal property of others by providing them
16 an inexpensive and expeditious means for collecting monies owed. At
17 the same time, they protect chattel owners from frivolous or inflated
18 claims by affording owners notice and the opportunity to be heard and
19 protect potential purchasers of the chattel involved by providing
20 notice to purchasers of the workmen's lien. Or. Rev. Stat. §§ 87.216-
21 87.350. Four categories of persons are protected by non-possessory
22 chattel liens: (1) those who make, repair, transport or store the
23 personal property of others; (2) those who log another's land or who
24 handle, manufacture or transport wood belonging to others; (3) those
25 persons who perform services or furnish goods for farms, ranches and
26 dairies that aid in the production of crops or raising of animals; and
27 (4) those who catch, handle or transport fish for others. Persons
28 claiming such a lien record notice with the county recorder, notify

1 the owner of the chattel subject to the lien, and then foreclose the
2 lien. Liens may be foreclosed by advertisement and sale or by suit.

3 Two sections govern this dispute. Oregon Revised Statute §
4 87.236 provides the rule of decision applicable to all workmen's non-
5 possessory liens:

6 (1) The liens created by ORS 87.216 to 87.232 [which
7 include Agricultural Service Liens] attach to the chattels
described in those sections.

8 (2) The liens created by ORS 87.222 and 87.232 [which
9 exclude Agricultural Service Liens] shall also attach to
the proceeds of the sale of the chattels subject to those
10 liens if:

11 (a) Prior to the filing of the notice of claim of
lien, the chattels or any part thereof are sold or
12 delivered to an agent, broker, cooperative agency or
other person to be sold or otherwise disposed of; and

13 (b) At the time the purchaser, agent, broker,
cooperative agency or other person is notified of the
14 filing of the claim of lien by delivery of a true copy
thereof, the proceeds that were received or will be
15 received from the sale or other disposal of the
chattels have not been delivered to the owner of the
16 chattels.

17 Or. Rev. Stat. § 823.236(1)-(2) (emphasis added).

18 Known as "Agricultural Services Liens," Or. Rev. Stat. §87.226,
19 is specific to liens arising from labor performed or materials
20 provided to farms, ranches, orchards and dairies. As pertinent here,
21 it provides:

22 (1) A person who performs labor, supplies materials or
provides services on farmland, range, ranch, orchard
23 or in that person's place of business to aid the
growing or harvesting of crops or the raising of
24 animals has a lien upon the crops or animals for the
reasonable or agreed charges for labor, materials or
services. The lien upon crops or animals created by
25 this section also attaches to the proceeds of the
crops or animals and to the unborn progeny of the
26 animals that are in utero on the date a notice of
27 claim of lien is filed.

1 (2) If the lien claimed under subsection (1) of this
2 section is for stud or artificial insemination
3 services, the lien attaches only to the female animal
4 to which the male animal is let or which is
5 artificially inseminated, and the offspring.

6 (3) The lien on crops and the proceeds thereof
7 attaches on the date a person performs labor, delivers
8 materials or provides services to aid the growing or
9 harvesting of crops. **The lien on animals and the**
10 **proceeds thereof attaches on the date a person**
11 **performs labor, delivers materials or provides**
12 **services to aid the raising of animals, or in the case**
13 **of unborn progeny, attaches on the date the claim of**
14 **lien is filed.**

15 Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.226(1)-(3) (emphasis added).

16 The non-possessory chattels lien laws define some, but not all,
17 of the operative terms. Or. Rev. Stat. §§ 87.005, 87.142, 87.226(4).
18 Animal means "any mammal, bird, fish, reptile, amphibian or insect." Or. Rev.
19 Stat. § 87.142(1). "Chattel includes movable objects that
20 are capable of being owned, but does not include personal rights not
reduced to possession but recoverable by an action at law or suit in
21 equity, money, evidence of debt and negotiable instruments." Or. Rev.
22 Stat. § 87.142(1)-(2).

23 "Proceeds" is not a defined term, and no known case has construed
24 its meaning within the confines of Oregon Revised Statute § 87.226(1).

25 **B. Rules of Construction**

26 In construing its statutes, Oregon courts place a premium on
27 ascertaining legislative intent and, wherever possible, interpreting
28 statutes consistent with that intent. Or. Rev. Stat. § 174.020. In
determining intent, Oregon courts employ a three-step process. First,
courts examine the "text and context" of the statute. If legislative
intent is clear, no further inquiry is necessary. Second, courts

1 consider legislative history. Third, if and only if legislative
2 intent remains unclear after the first two levels of analysis, the
3 court may "resort to general maxims of statutory construction to aid
4 in resolving the remaining uncertainty." *State v. Gains*, 346 Or. 160,
5 164-65 (2009), citing *PGE v. Bureau of Labor and Industries*, 317 Or.
6 606, 610-12 (1993).

7 **C. "Proceeds" Does Not Include Milk or the Money It Brings
8 Upon Sale**

9 Text and context reveal a legislative intent that the
10 agricultural services lien reaches only crops and animals, the
11 proceeds of crops or animals generated by their sale or similar
12 disposition and, in limited instances, the products of crops or
13 animals, *viz.*, unborn progeny of animals that are in utero on the date
14 a notice of lien is filed and in the case of stud or artificial
15 insemination services, offspring.

16 The text of the statute points to such an interpretation.
17 Section 87.236(1) provides the rule: non-possessory liens attach only
18 to chattels. Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.236(1) ("liens created by ORS 87.216
19 to 87.232 attach to the chattels described . . ."). Chattels
20 "includes movable objects that are capable of being owned, but does
21 not include personal rights not reduced to possession but recoverable
22 by an action at law or suit in equity, money, evidence of debt and
23 negotiable instruments." Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.142(2).

24 Oregon Revised Statute § 87.226 expands the reach of the
25 agricultural services lien to collateral beyond the chattel improved
26 to "proceeds" and specified products, *i.e.*, unborn, in utero animals
27 as of the date the notice of lien was filed and all offspring of
28 animals who received stud or artificial insemination services.

1 Consider the plain meaning of the words used, and not used, by
2 the legislature in defining the reach of an Agricultural Service Lien
3 beyond the chattel itself. “[P]roceeds,” a noun, means “the total
4 amount brought in <the proceeds of a sale>.” *Webster’s New Explorer*
5 *Encyclopedic Dictionary* 1457 (ed. 2006). In contrast, “proceed,” an
6 intransitive verb, means “to come from a source: issue.” *Id.* The use
7 of the noun form over the intransitive verb suggests the more limited
8 meaning. Moreover, “product,” a noun, means “something produced” or
9 “something resulting from.” *Id.* at 1459.

10 The text does not support a finding that milk, or monies from its
11 sale, are proceeds or a product within the meaning of Oregon Revised
12 Statutes § 87.226. In common parlance, milk is a product, not a
13 proceed, and § 87.226 narrowly tailors the circumstances in which
14 agricultural service liens attach to products, i.e., unborn progeny
15 and offspring of stud/artificial insemination services. This is not
16 one of those circumstances. Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.226(1)-(2). It is
17 also not a “proceed.” Proceeds means monies generated by sale or
18 similar disposition. Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.236(2) (liens “also attach
19 to the proceeds of the sale of the chattels.”). Finding the common
20 meaning of “proceeds” and its usage within the statue aligned, this
21 court finds that “proceeds” means monies generated by the sale, or
22 similar disposition, of the chattel subject to the lien, but not to
23 products, except as expressly stated.

24 Context supports such a narrow reading. Section 87.226 adopts a
25 snapshot approach to liens. The lien attaches on the date the person
26 performs the labor or delivers materials. Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.226(3)
27 (“The lien on animals and the proceeds thereof attaches on the date a
28 person performs labor, delivers materials or provides services to aid

1 the raising of animals, or in the case of unborn progeny, attaches on
2 the date the claim of lien is filed."). That lien extends to chattels
3 in existence on the date the lien attaches or, in the narrowest
4 circumstances, their products or proceeds. See e.g., Or. Rev. Stat. §
5 87.226(1) (unborn progeny in utero when the notice of lien is filed);
6 Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.226(2) (offspring born as the result of stud or
7 artificial insemination services); Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.236(2)
8 (proceeds of the sale of chattels before notice of lien is filed and
9 then only if the buyer has not yet paid for those chattels). This
10 suggests a narrow construction of the forward-looking provisions of
11 Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.226.

12 Moreover, a narrow reading of proceeds in Oregon Revised Statute
13 § 87.226(1) is consistent with the remainder of the non-possessory
14 lien laws. As a rule, those statutes attach liens only to the first-
15 generation works of improvement arising from the good or service
16 provided. Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.236(1) (liens attach "to the chattels
17 described"); see also, Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.216 (lien on "that
18 chattel"), Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.222 (lien upon "those timbers and those
19 wood products"); Or. Rev. Stat. § 87.232 (lien on "the fish"). Those
20 statutes only recognize non-possessory chattel liens in limited
21 circumstances.

22 As a result, "proceeds" as that term is used in Oregon Revised
23 Statutes § 87.226(1) does not attach to milk, or to the monies
24 generated by its sale, produced by a cow encumbered by an Agricultural
25 Service Lien.

26 **V. CONCLUSION**

27 For each of these reasons, judgment will be for plaintiff Gregory
28 te Velde and will specify that the milk proceeds held by Columbia

1 River Processing in the approximate amount of \$1.2 million are not
2 subject to the lien of ASL Lienholders. The court will issue a
3 separate judgment on the Attachment Issue, as authorized by Fed. R.
4 Civ. P. 54(b), incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7054(a).

5 Dated: August 23, 2018


6 Fredrick E. Clement
7 United States Bankruptcy Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Instructions to Clerk of Court Service List – Not Part of Order/Judgment

The Clerk of Court is instructed to send the Order/Judgment or other court generated document transmitted herewith to the parties below. The Clerk of Court will send the Order via the BNC.

Gregory John te Velde
5850 Avenue 160
Tipton CA 93272

Bao M. Vu
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite
1120
San Francisco CA 94111

Bennett G. Young
1 Embarcadero Center #400
San Francisco CA 94111-3619

Craig A. Tristao
499 W. Shaw Avenue, Suite 116
Fresno CA 93704

Don J. Pool
246 W. Shaw Avenue
Fresno CA 93704

John H. Chambers
851 SW 6th Ave #1500
Portland OR 97204

Learon John Bird
760 SW 9th Ave #3000
Portland OR 97205

Matthew P. Bunting
205 E. River Park Circle, Ste. 410
Fresno CA 93720

Michael B. Collins
PO Box 1457
Pendleton OR 97801

Peter L. Fear
7650 N. Palm Ave., Ste. 101
Fresno CA 93711

Riley C. Walter
205 E. River Park Circle, Ste. 410
Fresno CA 93720

Rissa A. Stuart
219 N Douty St
Hanford CA 93230

Stephen P. Arnot
1515 SW Fifth Avenue, #600
Portland OR 97201

Vaughn C. Taus
1042 Pacific St #D
San Luis Obispo CA 93401